

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/713,249	•	11/17/2003	Mycong-Ki Kim	P24480 1740	
7055	7590	11/03/2004		EXAMINER	
		BERNSTEIN, P.L.	CHENEVERT, PAUL A		
1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE RESTON, VA 20191				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,		-		3612	
				DATE MAILED: 11/03/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/713,249	KIM, MYCONG-KI	\$					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Paul A. Chenevert	3612						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Fe	bruary 2004.							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.	·							
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attackment(a)								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO.413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.								
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20040217.	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)						
S. Patent and Trademark Office								

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: page 3, line 19, "lower member 14" should be changed to "lower member 15".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities: "apparatus" should be changed to either "reinforcement structure" or "structure" (see claim 1). Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Maj et al.

Maj et al. disclose a reinforcement structure (radiator support 16) comprising: an upper member (top section of the front portion 17) having a hood latch (hood-latch support portion 20); a vertical member (support member 27) connected to a middle portion of the upper member; and a lower member (bottom section of the front portion 17) fixed to a lower portion of the vertical member, the lower member having a downwardly dented concave shape, as can best be seen in Figure 4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maj et al. in view of Cheron et al.

Maj et al. disclose a reinforcement structure as descried above. However, Maj et al. do not expressly disclose that the lower member also has a constant curvature towards its front side.

Cheron et al. disclose a reinforcement structure (array of ribs 1 and metal reinforcements 2) comprising: an upper member (upper cross member 5); and a lower member (lower beam 8) fixed to a lower portion of the vertical member, the lower member having a constant curvature towards its front side, as can best be seen in Figure 4.

Application/Control Number: 10/713,249

Art Unit: 3612

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the reinforcement structure of Maj et al., to employ a forwardly curved lower member, as taught by Cheron et al.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to provide further frontal impact strength to the lower member, as is desired in this vehicle invention.

Therefore, it would have been a desirable and thus a prima facie obvious modification of the reinforcement member by combining a forwardly curved profile with the lower member to obtain the invention as specified in claim 2, as taught by the prior references' motivation, and not hindsight from the applicants disclosure.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Lindsay, Komatsu, Hagiwara et al., Minami et al., and Kanamori et al., teach a downwardly curved lower beam. Reidelbach et al., Mayer et al., Takanishi et al., and Funakoshi et al. teach a forwardly curved lower beam. Masuda teaches a vertical beam structure.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul A. Chenevert whose telephone number is 703-305-0837. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn D. Dayoan can be reached on 703-308-3102. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paul A. Chenevert Examiner Art Unit 3612

PAC 26OCT04

D. GLENN DAYOAN 10 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600